UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,774	08/13/2007	Delphine Blanc-Magnard	PLAS-034	3854
32954 JAMES C. LYI	7590 11/04/200 OON	EXAMINER		
100 DAINGER	_	NELSON, LINDSAY ANN		
SUITE 100 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/584,774	BLANC-MAGNARD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LINDSAY NELSON	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Au This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 28-60 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 28-40 and 43-60 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 41 and 42 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examined 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access that any objection to the objected to the control of the con	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/28/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 3. Claim 46 refers to MD_PM siloxanes, but M and D are not defined in the claims, nor in the specification. For the purposes of examining, it will be assumed that M and D stand for mono and difunctional organosiloxy units.
- 4. Claim 60 provides for the use of the claimed catalyst, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an

improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 28-39 and 43-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Rodriguez, et al, Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 692 (2007) 705-708.
- 3. Regarding claims 28-32, 40, 46-48, and 52 Rodriguez discloses using a N-heterocyclic carbene catalyst in the ring-opening polymerization of cyclotetrasiloxane (abstract) by the following Scheme:

Application/Control Number: 10/584,774 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Scheme 1. ROP of Ds catalyzed by NHC ta,b.

which reads on the claimed method.

- 4. Regarding claims 33-35 and 49-50, Rodriguez further discloses that the NHC carbene catalyst is prepared by the deprotonation of the corresponding imidazolium triflate with potassium hexamethyldisilazide (2. Results and Discussion, Paragraph 1) which reads on the claimed method.
- 5. Regarding claims 36-37, Rodriguez further discloses that THF is used in the reaction (2. Results and Discussion, Paragraph 1) which reads on the carbene being at least partially solubilized and on the solubilization helper.
- 6. Regarding claim 38, Rodriguez further discloses that the mixture above is heated at 80 °C for 16 hours (2. Results and Discussion, Paragraph 1) which reads on the claimed temperature range.
- 7. Regarding claims 39 and 51, Rodriguez further discloses that the concentration of carbene catalyst used is 1000 ppm (Table 1) which reads on the claimed concentration of catalyst.
- 8. Regarding claims 43-45 and 54-59, Rodriguez further discloses formulas 3 and 4 as shown below, which reads on the claimed composition.

9. Regarding claim 53, Rodriguez further discloses that about 85% of the monomers of the initial composition are converted (2. Results and Discussion, Paragraph 1) which reads on the claimed composition.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 41-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

12. The closest prior art, Rodriguez, discloses the above composition and reaction method, but does not disclose using linear polyorganosiloxanes of the formula in instant claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINDSAY NELSON whose telephone number is (571)270-7735. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9 am - 5 pm est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,774 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LN

/Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796